

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/614,294	(07/08/2003	Junichiro Okabe	2003-0898A	7390	
513	7590	11/24/2004		EXAMINER		
	•	D & PONACK, L	NGUYEN, HUNG T			
2033 K STRI SUITE 800	EEIN. W	'.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20006-1021	2636			

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Antique Comments			94	OKABE ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit					
		Hung T. I	- -	2636					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss				
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is isons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the main digest patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no exepty within the standard will apply and vute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from olication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commo	unication.				
Status									
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	July 2003.							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is r	non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	on Papers								
9)[] -	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.							
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the				, ,				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment	(s)								
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (
3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date 7/8/2003.	8)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		2)				

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2 & 4-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsutsumi et al. (U.S. 5,963,000).

Regarding claims 1 & 24, Tsutsumi discloses an object sensor system for automatic swing door [figs.2-3 & 6, col.1, lines 4-25, col.2, lines 20-44 and col.11, lines 58-65 and abstract] comprising:

- at least 2 door position sensors (100,200) are attached to the doors for detecting the object or human entering / approaching to the doors / detected zone [figs.2-3 & 6, col.11, lines 58-65, col.13, line 64 to col.14, line 7];
- at least 2 object sensors (100,200) are attached to the doors for detecting the object or human are presence / absence at detected zone [figs.2-3 & 6, col.9, lines 19-24, col.11, lines 50-57, col.13, line 64 to col.14, line 7];
- at least light transmitter & light receiver are used in the system for detecting the object or human are presence / absence at detected zone which causes the swing door opening / closing [figs.11-12, col.3, line 56 to col.4, line 10, col.15, line 55 to col.16, line 2 and col.27, lines 2-19].

Art Unit: 2636

Tsutsumi does not specifically mention a term as the object determining means which receives one output from the door position as claimed by the applicant.

However, Tsutsumi clearly teaches that at least 2 door position sensors (100,200) are attached to the doors for detecting the object or human entering / approaching to the doors / detected zone [figs.2-3, 6 & 13, col.11, lines 58-65, col.13, line 64 to col.14, line 7], a controller (400) is communicated to CPU (302) for monitoring and controlling the door position output (307) which can be programmed (305) in the memory device (306) for detecting the object or human are presence / absence at detected zone which causes the swing door opening / closing [figs.13-14,18-21, col.8, lines 43-61 and col.21, lines 51-53].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ the system of Tsutsumi includes a controller & CPU features for controlling the swing door whether opening / closing when the object / human positions or away from at the detected zone.

Regarding claim 2, Tsutsumi discloses at least 2 door position sensors (100,200) are attached to the doors for detecting the object or human entering / approaching to the doors / detected zone [figs.2-3 & 6, col. 10,lines 10-25, col.11, lines 58-65, col.13, line 64 to col.14, line 7] and - at least 2 object sensors (100,200) are attached to the doors for detecting the object or human are presence / absence at detected zone [figs.2-3 & 6, col.9, lines 19-24, col.11, lines 50-57, col.13, line 64 to col.14, line 7].

Regarding claims 4-6, Tsutsumi teaches at least 2 door position sensors (100,200) are attached to the doors for detecting the object or human entering / approaching to the doors / detected zone [

Art Unit: 2636

figs.2-3, 6 & 13, col.11, lines 58-65, col.13, line 64 to col.14, line 7], a controller (400) is communicated to CPU (302) for monitoring and controlling the door position output (307) which can be programmed (305) in the memory device (306) for detecting the object or human are presence / absence at detected zone which causes the swing door opening / closing [figs.13-14,18-21, col.8, lines 43-61 and col.21, lines 51-53].

Regarding claims 7-9, Tsutsumi discloses at least 2 door position sensors (100,200) are attached to the doors for detecting the object or human entering / approaching to the doors / detected zone [figs.2-3 & 6, col. 10,lines 10-25, col.11, lines 58-65, col.13, line 64 to col.14, line 7] and - at least 2 object sensors (100,200) are attached to the doors for detecting the object or human are presence / absence at detected zone [figs.2-3 & 6, col.9, lines 19-24, col.11, lines 50-57, col.13, line 64 to col.14, line 7].

Regarding claims 10-13, Tsutsumi discloses at least 2 door position sensors (100,200) are attached to the doors for detecting the object or human entering / approaching to the doors / detected zone [figs.2-3 & 6, col. 10,lines 10-25, col.11, lines 58-65, col.13, line 64 to col.14, line 7] and

- at least 2 object sensors (100,200) are attached to the doors for detecting the object or human are presence / absence at detected zone [figs.2-3 & 6, col.9, lines 19-24, col.11, lines 50-57, col.13, line 64 to col.14, line 7].

Art Unit: 2636

Regarding claims 14-17, Tsutsumi discloses at least 2 door position sensors (100,200) are attached to the doors for detecting the object or human entering / approaching to the doors / detected zone [figs.2-3, 6 & 13, col.11, lines 58-65, col.13, line 64 to col.14, line 7], a controller (400) is communicated to CPU (302) for monitoring and controlling the door position output (307) which can be programmed (305) in the memory device (306) for detecting the object or human are presence / absence at detected zone which causes the swing door opening / closing [figs.13-14,18-21, col.8, lines 43-61 and col.21, lines 51-53].

Page 5

Regarding claims 18-23, Tsutsumi discloses at least 2 door position sensors (100,200) are attached to the doors for detecting the object or human entering / approaching to the doors / detected zone [figs.2-3, 6 & 13, col.11, lines 58-65, col.13, line 64 to col.14, line 7], a controller (400) is communicated to CPU (302) for monitoring and controlling switches (5-6), switching unit (301) of the door position which can be programmed (305) in the memory device (306) for detecting the object or human are presence / absence at detected zone which causes the swing door opening / closing [figs. 3, 11 & 16, col.8, lines 43-61, col.21, lines 38-53, col.22, lines 18-30 and line 60 to col.23, line 36].

Page 6

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsutsumi et al. (U.S. 5,963,000) in view of Scrip et al. Publication No. (U.S. 2004/0113778).

Regarding claim 3, Tsutsumi does not disclose the sensors in the system could be geomagnetism or gyroscope as claimed by the applicant.

Script teaches a movement detector having at least two gyroscope sensors (400A-B) for monitoring the motion of the object [fig.23, page 12, paragraphs 0138-0139].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have the teaching of Scrip in the system of Tsutsumi for detecting the movement of the object as the human is approaching & leaving the sensing zone / doors.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - Dowling (U.S. 6,292,100) Door warning system.
 - Hayashida (U.S. 6,304,178) Door safety system.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2982. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hofsass, Jeffery can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Examiner: Hung T. Nguyên

Date:

Nov. 16, 2004